

Appendix 2. Screenshots of parts of submitted commentaries expressing student concerns on AI and human writing.

(a) On authorship and originality

author points out. If a person gives AI a prompt and AI then writes the text, who is the author. And even if AI didn't write the whole text, but help adding and gives ideas, its involvement can't be ignored. But even if the lines are between human and AI credit begins to blur, AI will not be able to replace human creativity.

Is the author of a text the writer as long as the creative idea or concept has the origin in his critical thinking or does the credit belong to AI which translates the idea into a concept and system of words and sentences, understandable for the audience? And how can this allocation be done in future?

The article also mentions authorship an originality concerns when using AI.

It doesn't explicitly come to a conclusion on the definition of authorship, but my opinion is that it depends on the context of the written piece.

When it comes to creative writing, I think that AI use should ~~always~~ ^{always} be declared, ~~the line is blurry~~

ment in the project. While this doesn't not necessarily have to mean that their work lacks any quality, it certainly reduces the originality of the result. Furthermore, being able to pass off more mental tasks to AI might seem like

(b) one's voice

Rephrasing existing texts may lead to the loss of individual character in a text. If AI ³⁴ improves the character of a text it may lead to a loss of complexity in a text, in order to make it more understandable. This could be a problem in creative literature as hidden messages may get ^{lost} ~~lost~~. In conclusion, I ³⁸ do believe that the use of AI, especially in creative writing, can lead to less complex and individual texts. ⁴⁰ It will simply lead to a large volume of very similar literature which will destroy the purpose ^{and innovation} of creative literature. I

While feedback on grammar sounds good, AI tends to over-correct in my experience, causing the important grammatical errors to get lost between recommendations on ~~the~~ sentence structure and different wording.

c) risks of wrong information and threats of being dependent and one's to creativity and critical thinking

AI as a collaborative partner, but I believe it overlooks several important aspects. One missing point is that AI often makes up stories and gives users unreal data and information, for examples ISO standard number or name of literatures. For academic writers, AI may save hours of research and summarizing but can also require writes to spend more time verifying its information. In addition, fake information collected and written by AI can give writers discomfort and helplessness because they cannot verify ⁽²⁰⁰⁾ it. Another overlooked aspect is an over-dependance on AI, especially students or early-career writers. From my experience, AI tools can structure an outline and write short or even very long texts, rephrase sentences and improve clarity in a very short time. However, because of its quick response and high accuracy, writers may directly accept the AI-generated suggestions instead of checking or trying to make it more creative. This is particularly crucial for education, where writing is not just combining words into sentences but learning to think critically and deliver arguments in form of texts. By using AI tools too heavily and always depending on it, writers may lose their active engagement with their own process.

No one of us should blindly believe what AI generated because it, ~~itself~~ is not able to judge information or decide what is ~~the~~ right or wrong and therefore it is not able to replace ~~or~~ critical thinking.

Users

Firstly, I think that the reliance on AI as a tool for writing is a threat to not only personal creativity but also to our ability of critical thinking. To use AI for various methods, tasks and topics ^{could} lead to the acceptance of less own work because it is much easier to rely on the output¹⁵⁰ of AI prompts. This consequence is extremely dangerous because

the risks regarding user privacy and authorship, but fails to emphasize its dangers. Users often do not have the ability to know where IA ~~has~~ acquired all used information. This can lead to plagiarism, even without noticing, which can ~~have severe consequences~~ ~~for example for students writing their~~ ~~theses and can~~ lead to legal issues. Last but not least, it should also not be underestimated, that AI tools can not without failure and can give false information ^{as well as} wrong citations.

at that point, completely ~~deletes~~ deletes the motivation and excitement of writing and why humans become authors. Every part of writing will be